

I. EVALUATION MATRIX

The Evaluation questions are supported by indicators, data collection methods, key data sources and sampling methods.

Criteria	Type	Objective	Indicators	Target	Current value (2024/2025/2026/2027)	Method	Instrument	Sample
RELEVANCE	PROCESS	The 12 mandatory CUs designed meet most of the students' expectations	CUs 1 CUs 2 CUC 3 (...)	> 50	0	Quantitative	Survey questionnaire Focus Group	Students
		The 10 optional CUs designed meet most of the students' expectations	CUs 1 CUs 2 CUs 3 (...)	> 50	0	Quantitative	Survey questionnaire Focus Group	Students
	RESULT	The Master is able to address most of the identified digital health gaps globally	Gap 1 Gap 2 Gap 3 (...)	> 50	0	Quantitative	Questionnaire Interview	Entities related to the health sector
		The Master is able to address most of the gaps in the digital health sector at the national level	Gap 1 Gap 2 Gap 3 (...)	> 50	0	Qualitative	Survey questionnaire Interview	Entities related to the health sector
		The Master through e-learning pedagogy meets most of the students' expectations	0 (Not at all satisfied) 1-7 (Very satisfied) - Likert Scale	> 50	0	Quantitative	Survey questionnaire Focus Group	Students

EFFECTIVENESS	PROCESS	The master generated all the products foreseen in the project's scope and purpose	Work Management Product 1 Product 2 Product 3 (...)	100%	0	Quantitative Qualitative	Documentary Interview	Coordination SYGMA WP Leaders
	RESULT	The Master has achieved all the specific objectives defined in the application	Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 (...)	100%	0	Quantitative	Data analysis	Data analytics database
		Level of student satisfaction with the Master's program	0 (Not at all satisfied) 7 (Very satisfied) - Likert Scale	60%	0	Documentary	Survey questionnaire	Students
EFFICIENCY	PROCESS	The project was implemented according to the actions planned in each WP	WP 1 No. Activity WP 2 No. Activities WP 3 No. Activities WP 4 No. Activities (...)		0	Quantitative	Documentary Data analysis Coordination Interview	Activity plan for each WP
	RESULT	Partner participation/mobilization in the WP was successfully achieved	Financial resources Human resources Logistic resources Technical resources		0	Quantitative	Survey questionnaire	Coordinator for each university
		The coordination ensured the smooth execution of the project	Financial resources Human resources Logistic resources Technical resources		0	Quantitative	Survey questionnaire	Coordinator for each university
	PROCESS	Each university planned the continuation of the post-project pilot	Existence of a continuity plan	100%	0		Data analysis	Coordination of each university

	RESULT	The exit/integration plans ensure the maintenance of the effects achieved and the sustainability of the master	Warranty level demonstrated	100%	0	Documentary	Documentary Trace study	Coordination of each university
IMPACT	PROCESS	Students' skill level	According to the rating scale	>60%	0	Quantitative Qualitative	Documentary Interview	Students Teachers
	RESULT	Increased integration (employability, progression, new projects) of professionals in the health sector at the end of the Master's degree		> 25%	0	Quantitative Qualitative	Data analysis Interview	Students